Searches for new sources of CP violation using molecules as quantum sensors

Nicholas R. Hutzler, Caltech

Additional authors: A. Borschevsky, D. Budker, D. DeMille, V. V. Flambaum, G. Gabrielse, R. F. Garcia Ruiz, A. M. Jayich, L. A. Orozco, M. Ramsey-Musolf, M. Reece, M. S. Safronova, J. T. Singh, M. R. Tarbutt, and T. Zelevinsky

The physics / basic idea of the LOI

Please summarize the basic idea of your LOI

- Molecules combine orders of magnitude intrinsic enhancement of CP violating effects with coherent, quantum control methods in tabletop experiments.
- Recent advances have made these searches the most sensitive for the electron EDM, and are poised to expand to other sectors and higher energies.

What is the physics reach / outcome

- Current experiments probe the ~10 TeV scale in multiple sectors.
 - Leptonic via electron EDM (molecules), hadronic via nuclear Schiff moment (atoms)
 - Effectively free of SM backgrounds
- Advanced quantum control being developed/implemented will advance this to the ~1,000 TeV scale within ~decade.
 - Driven by recent advances in cooling, trapping, controlling molecules.
 - AMO is one of the major drivers of experimental QIS, which is being adapted to the needs of precision measurements
- Highly complementary to other searches (accelerator, flavor, ...)

Does your LOI cross frontiers?

- CF, EF, CompF, TF, IF
- Significant overlap with QIS in both theory and experiment.
- Many connections to DM, DE, PV, EW, fundamental constants, clocks, gravity, nuclear structure, sensing, many-body, ...

What is required for the LOI to succeed

What are the common data sets, joint efforts, and/or benchmarks that you need to accomplish your plans?

- Many interesting molecular species have no known spectroscopy, and nearly all are incomplete. This requires both theory and experimental efforts to accomplish.
- Theory of many varieties is needed to interpret results
 - Molecular structure, nuclear structure, BSM extensions, baryogenesis, ...
- EDM data sets are increasingly being re-analyzed to look for additional effects, such as axion(-like) fields

<u>Does your LOI require new detector technologies, instrumentation, facilities, computing, etc. to succeed?</u>

- New techniques to create, cool, trap, control molecules.
 - Rapidly advancing, but still primary limitation
 - Some tools: lasers, cryogenics, electromagnetic fields, photon detection, ...
- Laser technology is a big limitation (wavelengths, power, stability, ...)
- Molecular and nuclear calculations require advanced computing resources.
- Some species need isotope facilities.
 - Heavy, octupole deformed nuclei in molecules offer ~10⁶ intrinsic enhancement over current best experiments.

What do you plan to do during Snowmass

<u>Please describe your plans for participation /</u> <u>studies / simulation / contributed papers in the</u> <u>Snowmass process</u>

I am finding out! Snowmass is entirely new to me and I am learning how to contribute. I am helping with RF03 and #29 and am excited to learn about and contribute to the community.

What do you hope to get out of Snowmass

<u>Describe what you would like to see emerge from</u> the Snowmass process for this LOI or in general

- Improved and expanded communication and interaction between the AMO Precision and Particle Physics Communities. I think we can all learn a lot from each other!
- Personally learn some new science and approaches